AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWWD (Rev. 10/09) Case~1.16-Cr-00244-PLM~~ECF~No.~11~filed~12/22/16~~Page 1.0f~1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Antonio Rivera-Suarez ^{Defendant}	Case No. 1:16-cr-00244-PLM
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform are efendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Finding	gs of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that we existed – that is	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of rould have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is deat	h or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in:*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been co U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve a minor victim	s:
		estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumptio person or the community. I further find that defendant has	n that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative Fir	idings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or mo Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	ore is prescribed in:*
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	ed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions e safety of the community.
√ (1)	Alternative Fir There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	adings (B)
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	e safety of another person or the community.
	Part II - Statement of the F	Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the def a preponderance of the evidence that:	ention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	December 22, 2016	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge